Table II. A. 2. c. (1) (1999) Percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an exclusive-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by firm size and State: United States, 1999 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State	Total	Less than 10 employees	10 - 24 employees	25 - 99 employees	100-999 employees	1000 or more employees	Less than 50 employees	50 or more employees
United States	8. 9%	14. 5%	9. 0%	6. 4%	2. 7%	3. 1%	12. 4%	3. 2%
New Engl and:								
Mai ne	9. 0%						12. 8%	1.4% *
Massachusetts	18. 9%						26. 8%	2. 2%
Connecti cut	11.8%						16. 9%	1. 0% *
Rhode Island	14. 4%						17. 0%	6. 7% *
Vermont	21.3%		These cell es	timates have bee	en suppressed		27. 3%	4. 2% *
Middle Atlantic:		ŀ	pecause the size	of their stand	ard errors makes	6		
New York	17. 4%			y unreliable. (22. 2%	5. 2% *
New Jersey	12.6%		estimates shou	ild be used in p	lace of these		17. 5%	1. 6% *
Pennsyl vani a	10. 2%			estimates.			14. 7%	2. 3%
East North Central:								
Ohi o	6. 1%						6. 1%	6. 1% *
I ndi ana	4. 3%						4. 3% *	4. 3% *
Illinois	5. 6%						6. 9%	3. 4% *
Mi chi gan	11. 2%						15. 1%	3. 7% *
Wi sconsi n	6. 3%						8. 9%	1. 6% *
West North Central:	3. 3.0						3. 3.0	1. 0.0
Minnesota	3. 6% *						5. 4%	0. 3% *
Iowa	7. 1% *						8. 4% *	4. 9% *
Mi ssouri	5. 1%						8. 3%	0. 9% *
Nebraska	12. 2% *						18. 9% *	0. 1% *
Kansas	6. 9%						10. 5%	0. 4% *
South Atlantic:	0. 0/0						10. 5%	0. 1/0
Maryl and	11.0%						15. 9%	1. 9% *
Vi rgi ni a	4. 5% *						7. 5% *	0. 4% *
North Carolina	2. 4% *						3. 7% *	0. 5% *
South Carolina	3. 1% *						2. 8% *	3. 6% *
Georgi a	3. 9%						7. 1%	0. 4% *
Fl ori da	9. 6 %						13. 4%	3. 0% *
East South Central:	3. 0/0						13. 4/0	3. 0/0
Kentucky	4. 7%						7. 5%	1. 2% *
Tennessee	5. 5% *						9. 6% *	1. 0% *
Al abama	3. 4%						4. 9%	1. 2% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	4. 0% *						6. 9% *	0. 7% *
West South Central:	4. 0%						0. 9%	0. 7%
Arkansas	3. 7% *						6. 1% *	0. 5% *
Loui si ana	2. 1% *						3. 7% *	0. 2% *
Okl ahoma	5. 3%						7. 6 %	1. 9% *
Texas	5. 3%						7. 0% 7. 0%	3. 0% *
Mountain:	3. 3%						7. 0%	3. 0%
Col orado	14. 1%						16. 2%	9. 5% *
Ari zona	6. 7% 4. 2%						7. 6%	5. 5% * 2. 6% *
Nevada							5. 4% *	
Montana	7. 0%						7. 3% *	6. 3% *
Pacific:	7 00/						0.00/	0.00/ *
Washi ngton	7. 6%						9. 9%	3. 6% *
Oregon	14.6%						21. 1%	2. 8% *
Cal i forni a	13. 5%						17. 6%	6. 9%
Hawai i	11. 1%						13. 5%	5. 2% *
States not shown separately	4. 8%						6. 7%	1. 5% *

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 1999 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

^{*}Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

Table II.A. 2. c. (1) (1999) Standard error for percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an exclusive-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by firm size and State: United States, 1999 (40 States are shown separately)

	_						_	-
Division and State	Total	Less than 10 employees	10 - 24 employees	25 - 99 employees	100-999 employees	1000 or more employees	Less than 50 employees	50 or more employees
United States	0. 31%	0. 67%	0. 79%	0. 56%	0. 39%	0. 46%	0. 50%	0. 19%
New Engl and:								
Mai ne	1. 55%						2. 51%	1. 03% *
Massachusetts	1. 61%						2. 17%	0. 33%
Connecti cut	1. 55%						2. 03%	0. 52% *
Rhode Island	1. 90%						2. 59%	3. 22% *
Vermont	5. 59%						6. 61%	1. 66% *
Middle Atlantic:	3. 33/0						0. 01/0	1. 00%
New York	1. 48%						2. 28%	1. 62% *
New Jersey	1. 95%						2. 93%	0. 82% *
Pennsyl vani a	1. 10%						1. 84%	0. 64%
East North Central:	1. 10/0						1. 64/0	0. 04%
	1 050/						0. 92%	2. 48% *
Ohi o	1. 05% 1. 23%						0. 92% 1. 68% *	2. 48% * 2. 58% *
Indi ana								
Illinois	1. 26%						1. 95%	1. 39% *
Mi chi gan	2. 00%						2. 75%	1. 76% *
Wi sconsi n	1. 41%						1. 67%	1. 23% *
West North Central:	4 000						1 220	0.070
Mi nnesota	1. 09% *						1. 62%	0. 25% *
Iowa	2. 39% *						3. 75% *	2. 11% *
Mi ssouri	0. 83%						1. 37%	0. 45% *
Nebraska	5. 03% *						6. 74% *	0. 05% *
Kansas	1. 28%						2. 01%	0. 39% *
South Atlantic:								
Maryl and	2. 77%						4. 26%	0. 95% *
Vi rgi ni a	1. 71% *						2. 78% *	0. 29% *
North Carolina	1. 08% *						1. 91% *	0. 42% *
South Carolina	1. 14% *						1. 30% *	1. 98% *
Georgi a	0. 96%						1. 77%	0. 24% *
Fl ori da	1. 41%						1. 90%	1. 14% *
East South Central:								
Kentucky	1. 27%						2. 03%	0. 75% *
Tennessee	2. 34% *						3. 47% *	0. 70% *
Al abama	0.85%						1. 44%	1. 01% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	1.41% *						3. 02% *	0. 61% *
West South Central:								
Arkansas	1. 27% *						2. 28% *	0. 33% *
Loui si ana	1. 06% *						1. 78% *	0. 21% *
0kl ahoma	1. 15%						1. 89%	1. 06% *
Texas	0.86%						1. 28%	1. 32% *
Mountai n:								
Col orado	2. 91%						3. 20%	3. 79% *
Ari zona	1. 83%						2. 05%	2. 01% *
Nevada	1. 16%						1. 86% *	1. 56% *
Montana	1. 90%						2. 26% *	3. 27% *
Pacific:								
Washington	1. 66%						1. 72%	2. 41% *
0regon	1. 68%						3. 04%	1. 16% *
Cal i forni a	1. 61%						2. 48%	1. 72%
Hawai i	1. 77%						2. 08%	1. 68% *
States not shown separately	1. 13%						1. 79%	0. 63% *
oparator,	0,0						2	3. 33.0
			_					

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 1999 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.